## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS AUSTIN DIVISION

-VS-	Case No.:
	SCHEDULING ORDER
	SCHEDULING ORDER
	Pursuant to Rule 16, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Court issues the following
sched	duling order:
1.	A report on alternative dispute resolution in compliance with Local Rule CV-88 shall be filed
	by
2.	The parties asserting claims for relief shall submit a written offer of settlement to opposing
	parties by, and each opposing party shall respond, in
	writing, by All offers of settlement are to be private,
	not filed, and the Court is not to be advised of the same. The parties are further ORDERED
	to retain the written offers of settlement and responses as the Court will use these in
	assessing attorney's fees and court costs at the conclusion of trial.
3.	Each party shall complete and file the attached "Notice Concerning Reference to United
	States Magistrate Judge" on or before

4.	The parties shall file all amended or supplemental pleadings and shall join additional parties
	by
5.	Any party asserting claims for affirmative relief shall disclose its designation of potential
	witnesses, testifying experts, and proposed exhibits by serving, not filing, the designation on
	all parties by Any party resisting claims for relief shall
	disclose its potential witnesses, testifying experts, and proposed exhibits, and shall serve, not
	file, the disclosure on all parties by All designations of
	rebuttal experts shall be served, not filed, within 15 days of receipt of the report of the
	opposing expert.
	Any witness who will present any opinion in trial is considered an expert, and the
	disclosure must contain a written summary of the witness's testimony in an expert report.
	The Fed. R. Civ. P. 26 standard is not applicable to this paragraph; it does not make any
	difference whether or not the expert witness is a "retained expert," as any opinion or
	testimony of any expert not contained in the expert report will not be permitted at trial.
6.	An objection to the reliability of an expert's proposed testimony under Federal Rule of
	Evidence 702 shall be made by motion, specifically stating the basis for the objection and
	identifying the objectionable testimony, within eleven (11) days of receipt of the written
	report of the expert's proposed testimony or within eleven (11) days of the expert's
	deposition, if a deposition is taken, whichever is later.
7.	The parties shall complete all discovery on or before
	Counsel may by agreement continue discovery beyond the deadline, but there will be no

	intervention by the Court except in extraordinary circumstances, and no trial setting will be
	vacated because of information obtained in post-deadline discovery.
8.	All dispositive motions shall be filed no later than and
	shall be limited to twenty (20) pages. Responses to dispositive motions shall be filed not
	later than fourteen (14) days after the filing of the motion and shall be limited to twenty (20)
	pages. A reply in support of a dispositive motion shall be filed not later than seven (7) days
	after the filing of the response to the motion and shall be limited to ten (10) pages, but the
	Court need not wait for the reply before ruling on the motion.
9.	This case is set for docket call, at 11:00 a.m. and trial
	in the month of At docket call, the parties shall submit a short,
	one paragraph statement of the parties' contentions, voir dire questions, proposed jury
	instructions and verdict forms, exhibit and witness lists, and any motions in limine.
	SIGNED this theday of
	SIGNED this theday of
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
AGRI	EED:
Attori	ney for Plaintiff  Attorney for Defendant

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS AUSTIN DIVISION

-vs-	Case No.:
	NOTICE CONCERNING REFERENCE TO UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
In a	ccordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 626(c), Federal Rules of Civil Procedure
73, and the	Local Rules of the United States District Court for the Western District of Texas, the
following p	arty:
through cou	insel:
hereby (sele	ect one):
	consents to having a United States Magistrate Judge preside over the trial in this case
	declines to consent to trial before a United States Magistrate Judge.
	Respectfully submitted,
	Attorney for:
	Attorney for.